Resumen

*Prosopis laevigata* (mezquite) tiene una distribución geográfica amplia en la república mexicana y es un recurso valioso en zonas áridas y semiáridas por sus funciones ecológicas y el aprovechamiento de su madera para diversos usos; sin embargo, debido a la sobreexplotación que ha tenido, su área de distribución natural se ha reducido de forma notoria. Con base en lo anterior, el objetivo de este estudio fue delimitar zonas potenciales para el establecimiento de esta especie en el estado de Hidalgo, México. Para ello, se utilizó el algoritmo MaxEnt ver. 3.3.3 para determinar la distribución potencial del mezquite, la cual fue validada con ayuda del análisis ROC. Se aplicó la prueba Jackknife para identificar los factores limitantes en la distribución potencial del taxón. El análisis de los datos indicó que los factores del clima: temperatura y humedad son los más relevantes para definir áreas potenciales de establecimiento; mientras que, la evapotranspiración, edafología y geología fueron menos importantes. El modelo obtenido reveló una disponibilidad de 83 438 ha donde el mezquite puede desarrollarse y se concentran, principalmente, en la región central de la entidad. Por ello, se concluye que el estado de Hidalgo posee amplias zonas en las que es factible plantar (reforestar) *P. laevigata*.
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Abstract

*Prosopis laevigata* (Mesquite) has a wide geographic distribution in the Mexican Republic and is a valuable resource in arid and semi-arid zones due to its ecological functions and the use of its wood for various uses; however, due to overexploitation they have had, their natural range has been markedly reduced. Based on the above, the objective of this study was to delimit potential areas for the establishment of this species in the state of Hidalgo, Mexico. For this, the MaxEnt algorithm was used ver. 3.3.3 to determine the potential distribution of mesquite, which was validated with the help of ROC analysis. The Jackknife test was used to identify limiting factors in the potential distribution of the species. The analysis of the data indicated that the factors of climate type, temperature and humidity are the most relevant to define potential areas of establishment; while evapotranspiration, soil science and geology were less important. The obtained model revealed an availability of 83 438 ha where mesquite can be established and they are mainly concentrated in the central region of the state. Therefore, it is concluded that the state of Hidalgo has large areas where mesquite can be developed.
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**Introduction**

A methodology developed for biological conservation research leads to the estimation of the potential distribution areas from the ecological niche modeling (Finch *et al.*, 2006), since it provides information about the tolerance of the species to abiotic factors, lets the assessment of its affinity in regard to environmental factors and helps to determine the probability of presence or establishment in a geographic space (Mitov and Stoyanov, 2005). Ecological niche models are the main tool to determine the potential distribution of species (Cruz-Cárdenas *et al.*, 2014) since they consider the environmental factors of the localities where the species live (Finch *et al.*, 2006). Models based on geographic information systems use data from different physical-geographic and climatic characteristics that allow delimiting optimal areas for the location of plant species, and obtaining good *a priori* results (Anderson *et al.*, 2003; Téllez *et al.*, 2004; Palacios *et al.*, 2016).

*Prosopis laevigata* (Humb. *et* Bonpl. *ex* Willd.) M.C. Johnst. is a native species of Mexico with a wide geographical and ecological distribution and is considered a valuable resource for the inhabitants of arid and semi-arid areas of the country (Villanueva *et al.*, 2004). Several goods and services are obtained from this species such as firewood, rubber, construction materials, food, fodder, nectar for beekeeping, shade for animals, medicines, among others. In addition, it performs important ecological functions, since it is a soil fixer and, therefore, prevents and controls erosion, fixes nitrogen in the soil and provides food and shelter to wildlife (Rodríguez *et al.*, 2014).

In the state of *Hidalgo*, this species is beginning to be widely used in agroforestry projects due to its versatility (Sánchez *et al.*, 2017) and due to its high nutritional value, it can be considered as a superfood (Díaz-Batalla *et al.*, 2018). In addition, various studies indicate that, due to its rapid growth, it is a viable species for the production of food for sheep (Buzo *et al.*, 1972; Sawal *et al.*, 2004), which is one of the main economic activities from the state of *Hidalgo*; at present, it is the second largest producer nationwide and the main supplier of sheep meat for Mexico City (Vélez *et al.*, 2017).
Despite its great extension, it presents a high rate of decrease in surface area, especially notorious in the northern and central states of the country (Ríos et al., 2011). From its great nutritional potential and diversity of use forms, it is a priority to carry out management plans with this species to avoid putting wild populations at risk and promote its sustainable use. Based on the above, it is intended to define, through an ecological niche model, suitable spaces for their potential establishment in the state of Hidalgo.

**Materials and Methods**

The state of Hidalgo is located in the central part of the Transversal Neovolcanic Axis, and the southern part of the Sierra Madre Oriental of the Mexican republic (Figure 1). The predominant climates are: temperate subhumid C (w), semi-dry temperate BS1k and semi-warm humid ACf (Inegi, 2017). The annual average temperature is 16 °C, and the minimum of the coldest month (January) is 4 °C, the maximum is 27 °C. It brings together a great diversity of plant species and climates due to the regional altitudinal variation of the entity (154 to 3 350 masl) (INEGI, 2014).

![Figure 1. Location of the state of Hidalgo, Mexico.](image_url)
To model the ecological niche, data on climatic, edaphological, geological and altimetry variables were obtained. The edaphologies, from vector files of the 1: 250 000 scale coverage of the digital geological-mining chart F14-11 (INEGI, 2007); the geological ones, from the set of vector edaphological data series II of Inegi (INEGI, 2007); lastly, those of type of climate, from Inegi's “coverage of climatic units” (INEGI, 2008). The altimetry was based on Inegi's “Continuum of Mexican elevations 3.0”, with a resolution of 120 m (INEGI, 2013). The climatic variables were determined with evapotranspiration data, relative humidity, soil humidity, precipitation, radiation and average temperature, coming from the Meteorological Network of del Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Forestales, Agrícolas y Pecuarias (INIFAP).

Once the necessary information to model the potential distribution had been gathered, the raster files were generated for all the variables, since this is the only format accepted by the program with which the modeling was carried out. The variables of edaphology, climate type and geology were transformed into raster variables through the reclassification method, as well as the homogenization of cell size at 120 m. For the digital elevation model, the size of the cell was modified and it was adjusted to the size of the rest of the raster images with the Arcview “Resample” tool (Table 1).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Source*</th>
<th>Type of data (raw)</th>
<th>Raster conversion method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Altitude</td>
<td>Inegi</td>
<td>Raster image</td>
<td>Cell size and image adjustments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate</td>
<td>Inegi</td>
<td>Vectorial polygon</td>
<td>Reclassification and raster conversion, cell and image size adjustment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edafology</td>
<td>Inegi</td>
<td>Vectorial polygon</td>
<td>Reclassification and raster conversion, cell and image size adjustment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evapotranspiration</td>
<td>INIFAP</td>
<td>Station table with georeference transformed to point vector file</td>
<td>Generation of semivariogram and application of Kriging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geology</td>
<td>SGM</td>
<td>Vectorial polygon</td>
<td>Reclassification and raster conversion, cell and image size adjustment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative humidity</td>
<td>INIFAP</td>
<td>Station table with georeference transformed to point vector file</td>
<td>Generation of semivariogram and application of Kriging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil humidity</td>
<td>INIFAP</td>
<td>Station table with georeference transformed to point vector file</td>
<td>Generation of semivariogram and application of Kriging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>INIFAP</td>
<td>Station table with georeference transformed to point vector file</td>
<td>Generation of semivariogram and application of Kriging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiación</td>
<td>INIFAP</td>
<td>Station table with georeference transformed to point vector file</td>
<td>Generation of semivariogram and application of Kriging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean temperature</td>
<td>INIFAP</td>
<td>Station table with georeference transformed to point vector file</td>
<td>Generation of semivariogram and application of Kriging</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Inegi = Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía; INIFAP = Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Forestales, Agrícolas y Pecuarias; SGM = Servicio Geológico Mexicano.
For the climatic variables, historical data were collected from the INIFAP Meteorological Network. The Kriging interpolation method was applied to these data to generate a raster image of precipitation and temperature. This method has been widely used to estimate the variation of spatial variables with local data related to climate such as precipitation and temperature (Teegavarapu and Chandramouli, 2005; Galindo et al., 2010; Andrade and Moreano, 2013).

To determine the potential distribution of *P. laevigata* in the state of Hidalgo, the MaxEnt 3.3.3 algorithm was used, which estimates the probability that a species is found at a certain point (Drake, 2014). Georeferenced points of the species (Figure 2) were obtained in the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF, 2016), which is an international organization in charge of collecting scientific data on biodiversity, which are provided by institutions, herbaria, universities and other organizations around the world. Before introducing them to the algorithm, the sample size was reduced by means of a random selection to reduce the effect of spatial autocorrelation, and at the end 19 georeferenced points were introduced. This algorithm was chosen because its performance is considered very good. In addition, it can give adequate results with small sample sizes (Plasencia-Vázquez et al., 2014).

![Figure 2. Geolocation of Prosopis laevigata (Humb. et Bonpl. ex Willd.) M.C. Johnst. sightings in the state of Hidalgo.](image-url)
The selected configuration of the MaxEnt model to determine the potential distribution of *P. laevigata* was the logistic function. In the model calibration, 500 iterations were specified and the convergence limit was set at 0.00001 (default value). The regularization value was set at 1.0 (default value), as this allows the algorithm to model a more localized distribution that fits the presence records. The model was calibrated with the standard parameters that MaxEnt 3.3.3 has by default.

The analysis of the area under the curve (AUC) of the ROC (Receiver Operating Characteristic) function was used to validate the model (López and Fernández, 1998; Palacios *et al.*, 2016). The AUC curve of the ROC function is a measure that shows the discrimination capacity of the model with values that fluctuate from zero to one, in which 0.5 indicates that its adjustment is no better than one obtained at random, that is, the closer to one, the training and test data, the more correct the model is (Ávila *et al.*, 2014).

The most important climatic variables associated with the species were determined with the Jackknife test, based on which a graph of the contributions of each of the variables to the model is generated.

**Results and Discussion**

The choice of the best model was made according to its validation, since it was sought that the training and data curves of the ROC analysis described a similar and close fit to each other. This indicates that the fit of the model is optimal and that there are no errors of omission (Figure 3), since, the closer the training and test lines are, the model is more able to describe reality (de Pando and Peñas, 2007).
Figure 3. Results of the area under the curve (ROC) analysis.

The AUC value of the test was 0.954 for the training data and 0.971 for the test data (Figure 3), which is interpreted as an excellent fit, since statistically, the model defines a precise distribution for this species. These results are similar to those from Contreras-Medina et al. (2010) and Ávila et al. (2014) who recorded AUC values of 0.997 and 0.971 for Taxus globosa Schltdl. and Pinus herrerae Martínez, respectively, and concluded that their results were accurate.

According to the Jackknife test, the variables of edaphology, evapotranspiration and geology contribute less to the model, because with the individual use, the gain is very low, and in edaphology it becomes negative. In addition, by eliminating out edaphology and evapotranspiration, the model has a greater gain, compared to the use with the other variables (Figure 4). This is confirmed by the ecological information of the species since, being native in large areas of Mexico, few problems would be expected to adapt to different types of soil and varying evapotranspiration conditions (Rodríguez et al., 2014); therefore, these variables are expected to have little relevance in the analysis.
Figure 4. Contributions of the variables used to the model.

The most important variables in the Jackknife test were: soil moisture, temperature and type of climate, since they are the ones that individually have the highest gain in the model. This is attributable to the fact that the species is typical of arid and semi-arid areas, so its physiological mechanisms of absorption and conservation of water are affected in environmental conditions different from those prevailing in the regions from which they come (Villanueva et al., 2004).

Altitude did not have a great impact on the potential distribution of this species (Figure 4), which can be explained from the wide altitudinal range in which mesquite is distributed (from 0 to 2 200 masl) (Rodríguez et al., 2014).

The final map of the potential distribution of mesquite indicates that the suitable areas are located mainly in the central part of the state, which corresponds to the east of the region known as Valle del Mezquital, which amount to a total of 83 437 574 ha (probability > 0.70) (Figure 5).
Traditionally, mesquite plants are considered able to adapt to a great variety of climates, so in a first approach, it would be strange that the optimal potential distribution area in the state of Hidalgo is so restricted. However, Guevara et al. (2008) indicate that *P. laevigata* may require much more specific conditions than other species of the genus.

When comparing the potential distribution shown in the present study with the natural distribution referred to by Palacios (2006), there is agreement between the observed and the calculated one, which confirms that this type of model is capable of effectively predicting the territorial disposition of the species. Also, the results obtained are supported by the work of Palacios et al. (2016), since the authors approached the same species but in a wider area (three physiographic provinces).

In *San Salvador, Actopan, Francisco I. Madero, Santiago de Anaya, Metztitlán, El Arenal, San Agustín Metzquititlán, Ajacuba, Mineral del Chico, Cardonal, Atotonilco El Grande* and *Eloxochitlán* municipalities are located the largest areas with a high

**Figure 5.** Potential geographic distribution of *Prosopis laevigata* (Humb. et Bonpl. ex Willd.) M.C. Johnst. in the state of Hidalgo.
suitability of establishment of the species (P> 0.7) (Table 2). These municipalities have arid and semi-arid climates (Chávez et al. 2001), so they show that the model is consistent with reality, since all the records of the species correspond to regions with this type of climate (Villanueva et al. ., 2004).

Table 2. Municipalities of greatest potential area for *Prosopis laevigata* (Humb. et Bonpl. ex Willd.) M.C. Johnst.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Municipality</th>
<th>Potential hectares</th>
<th>Municipality %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>San Salvador</td>
<td>14 103.20</td>
<td>39.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actopan</td>
<td>9 441.06</td>
<td>34.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francisco I. Madero</td>
<td>8 722.03</td>
<td>82.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santiago de Anaya</td>
<td>8 664.01</td>
<td>33.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metztitlán</td>
<td>5 834.09</td>
<td>7.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Arenal</td>
<td>4 386.30</td>
<td>32.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Agustín Metzquititlán</td>
<td>4 346.95</td>
<td>17.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ajacuba</td>
<td>4 325.43</td>
<td>17.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral del Chico</td>
<td>4 006.69</td>
<td>20.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardonal</td>
<td>3 063.32</td>
<td>5.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atotonilco el Grande</td>
<td>2 987.33</td>
<td>6.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eloxochitlán</td>
<td>2 102.17</td>
<td>8.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixquiahuala de Juárez</td>
<td>1 806.89</td>
<td>15.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Agustín Tlaxiaca</td>
<td>1 724.14</td>
<td>5.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral del Monte</td>
<td>1 344.27</td>
<td>24.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tolcayuca</td>
<td>1 089.45</td>
<td>9.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progreso de Obregón</td>
<td>737.21</td>
<td>8.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tlahuiltepa</td>
<td>725.00</td>
<td>25.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omitlán de Juárez</td>
<td>422.01</td>
<td>5.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusions

The results obtained in this study allow us to conclude that *P. laevigata* can be ideally established in 83,437 ha in the state of *Hidalgo*. Most of these extensions are located in the central region of the state.
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