



DOI: <https://doi.org/10.29298/rmcf.v10i55.604>

Nota de Investigación

Potencial de reforestación de seis especies de pino para la restauración de zonas degradadas

Reforestation potential of six pine species for restoring of degraded zones

Andrés Flores*¹, Tomás Pineda Ojeda² y Eulogio Flores Ayala²

Resumen

En México, los bosques templados se han reducido debido al cambio de uso de la tierra para la agricultura, la tala ilegal, los incendios forestales, las plagas y las enfermedades. No obstante, el programa de reforestación (PR) es una estrategia para aumentar las áreas forestales y reducir la degradación de sus suelos. Los objetivos de este trabajo consistieron en evaluar el potencial de producción de planta para reforestación de *Pinus pseudostrobus*, *P. engelmannii*, *P. montezumae*, *P. greggii*, *P. arizonica* y *P. durangensis* para la restauración de zonas degradadas; y en determinar los esfuerzos del PR para la producción de las especies. Se estimaron las áreas potenciales para reforestación y las de degradación de tierras forestales; también se analizaron las capacidades del PR para la producción de planta de coníferas, basadas en el número de viveros establecidos (V), unidades de producción de germoplasma (UPG) y bancos de germoplasma (BG) instalados. Los resultados mostraron que las especies estudiadas pueden reducir 57.52 % del área total degradada. Las superficies anuales estimadas para restaurar fueron: 15 458.97 ha (*P. pseudostrobus*), 8 685.33 ha (*P. engelmannii*), 8 413.30 ha (*P. montezumae*), 7 618.73 ha (*P. greggii*), 3 081.18 ha (*P. arizonica*) y 1 400.10 ha (*P. durangensis*). Los esfuerzos del PR fueron buenos y regulares: cinco estados tienen 50 % del total de los V (113), y alrededor de 30 % de las UPG (22) y los BG (4). Esta información es esencial para planificar acciones de restauración con los taxa considerados en esta investigación.

Palabras clave: Bosque de coníferas, Conafor, plantación forestal, producción de planta en vivero, Programa apoyos para el desarrollo forestal sustentable, suelo forestal.

Abstract

Temperate forests in Mexico have been reduced due to land use change for agriculture, illegal logging, forest fires, and pests and disease. However, the reforestation program (RP) is a strategy to increase forest areas and decrease forest land degradation. The aims for this work were: to assess the reforestation potential for restoring degraded areas with *Pinus pseudostrobus*, *P. engelmannii*, *P. montezumae*, *P. greggii*, *P. arizonica* y *P. durangensis*; and to define the efforts of reforestation program for species production. The potential areas for reforestation and the degradation for forest lands were estimated. Also, the abilities of RP for seedlings production of conifers based on the number of nurseries established (N), germplasm production units defined (GPU) and germplasm banks (GB) installed were analyzed. The results showed that target species could reduce 57.52 % total area degraded. The annual areas estimated for restoration were: 15 458.97 ha (*P. pseudostrobus*), 8 685.33 ha (*P. engelmannii*), 8 413.30 ha (*P. montezumae*), 7 618.73 ha (*P. greggii*), 3 081.18 ha (*P. arizonica*) and 1 400.10 ha (*P. durangensis*). For RP, the efforts had a significantly impact, *i.e.*, five states had 50 % out all N (113), and around 30 % GPU (22) and GB (4). This information is essential to plan restoration actions for target species.

Key words: Temperate forest, Conafor, forest plantation, seedling production, support program for sustainable forest development, forest soil.

Fecha de recepción/Reception date: 19 de junio de 2019

Fecha de aceptación/Acceptance date: 26 de agosto de 2019

¹Centro Nacional de Investigación Disciplinaria en Conservación y Mejoramiento de Ecosistemas Forestales, Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Forestales, Agrícolas y Pecuarias. México.

²Campo Experimental Valle de México, Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Forestales, Agrícolas y Pecuarias. México.

*Autor por correspondencia; correo-e: flores.andres@inifap.gob.mx

The temperate forests in Mexico cover an area near to 323 305 km² (Galicia *et al.*, 2015), and it hosts important species that provide multiple environmental goods and services (Aguirre, 2015). However, these ecosystems are degraded through land use change, illegal logging and the presence of fires and diseases (Goldstein *et al.*, 2011). With the purpose of counteracting the negative effects of deforestation, it is estimated that more than 250 000 ha have been reforested in the last decade in Mexico (Burney *et al.*, 2015).

The production of quality plant in nursery has improved considerably in the country, from a traditional model (use of polyethylene bag) to a technified (use of container). This change in technology has increased the quality of seedlings, which is essential for the success of reforestation (Velázquez *et al.*, 2011).

The Supports Program for the Sustainable Forest Development (*Programa Apoyos para el Desarrollo Forestal Sustentable*) (Forest Restoration and Productive Reconversion component, (*componente Restauración Forestal y Reconversión Productiva*), is a key player for the restoration of degraded forest land (Secretaría de Economía, 2019). However, its efficient operation requires knowing the areas to be recovered and the appropriate species. Therefore, the present work had as objectives: i) to evaluate the potential of plant production for reforestation of six conifers (*Pinus pseudostrobus* Lindl., *P. engelmannii* Carrière, *P. montezumae* Lamb., *P. greggii* Engelm. ex Parl., *P. arizonica* Engelm. and *P. durangensis* Martínez) for the restoration of degraded areas that are among the most used in the country, and ii) determine the efforts of the reforestation program for the production of the species.

The average of plant produced in nurseries by species was estimated based on the 2016-2018 records of the National Forestry Commission (Conafor, 2018), which is a good indicator of the number of seedlings that are bound to reforestation programs and of soil conservation by state. Likewise, the areas of forest land with medium (III.C) and low (III.D) degradation were determined based on the forest restoration zoning map of Conafor (Conafor, 2017); high degradation zones (III.A and III.B) were not considered because they require more time and soil conservation works to be restored. The processing and representation of the information was carried out through the QGIS program (<http://qgis.osgeo.org>) (QGIS Development Team, 2015).

When comparing the areas that can be reforested ($1\ 100\ \text{plants ha}^{-1}$) with the amount of plant produced and the areas of medium and low degradation, the species together showed that they have a potential to restore 42.18 % of areas III.C and III.D (Table 1). In particular, *P. pseudostrobus* has the capacity to restore 15 458.97 ha; *P. engelmannii*, 8 685.33 ha; *P. montezumae*, 8 413.30 ha; *P. greggii*, 7 618.73 ha; *P. arizonica*, 3 081.18; and *P. durangensis*, 1 400.10 ha.

Table 1. Number of plants produced from six species of pine, potential of restoration areas (ha) and degraded areas (ha) and by state.

| Species | State | Produced seedlings ¹ | Potencial of the restoration areas (ha) [†] | Degraded lands (ha) [‡] |
|-------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <i>P. pseudostrobus</i> | Chiapas | 1 093 250 | 993.86 | 553.98 |
| | Edo México | 3 038 250 | 2 762.05 | 37.96 |
| | Guanajuato | 133 333 | 121.21 | 219.45 |
| | Guerrero | 1 567 500 | 1 425.00 | 1 039.77 |
| | Hidalgo | 258 987 | 235.44 | 689.18 |
| | Michoacán | 6 078 333 | 5 525.76 | 185.77 |
| | Morelos | 150 000 | 136.36 | 1.85 |
| | Nuevo León | 680 000 | 618.18 | 6 820.35 |
| | Oaxaca | 1 357 891 | 1 234.45 | 481.03 |
| | Puebla | 1 154 272 | 1 049.34 | 437.08 |
| | Querétaro | 102 705 | 93.37 | 27.51 |
| | Tamaulipas | 16 667 | 15.15 | 4 012.53 |
| | Tlaxcala | 529 767 | 481.61 | 1.13 |
| | Veracruz | 843 914 | 767.19 | 860.53 |
| | Subtotal | | 17 004 869 | 15 458.97 |
| <i>P. engelmannii</i> | Chihuahua | 3 735 855 | 3 396.23 | 32 035.24 |
| | Durango | 4 721 966 | 4 292.70 | 13 194.50 |
| | Sinaloa | 1 096 037 | 996.40 | 236.31 |
| | Subtotal | | 9 553 858 | 8 685.33 |
| <i>P. montezumae</i> | Ciudad de México | 93 108 | 84.64 | 0.00 |
| | Edo. México | 2 284 872 | 2 077.16 | 37.96 |
| | Guerrero | 100 000 | 90.91 | 1 039.77 |
| | Hidalgo | 1 383 333 | 1 257.58 | 689.18 |
| | Michoacán | 2 656 000 | 2 414.55 | 185.77 |
| | Moelosr | 439 147 | 399.22 | 1.85 |

Flores *et al.*, **Potencial de reforestación de seis especies de pino...**

| Species | State | Produced seedlings [†] | Potencial of the restoration areas (ha) [†] | Degraded lands (ha) [‡] |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | <i>Puebla</i> | 1 280 233 | 1 163.85 | 437.08 |
| | <i>Tlaxcala</i> | 529 311 | 481.19 | 1.13 |
| | <i>Veracruz</i> | 488 627 | 444.21 | 860.53 |
| | Subtotal | 9 254 632 | 8 413.30 | 3 253.27 |
| <i>P. greggii</i> | <i>Coahuila</i> | 50 833 | 46.21 | 10 837.18 |
| | <i>Edo. México</i> | 2 522 955 | 2 293.60 | 37.96 |
| | <i>Guerrero</i> | 83 333 | 75.76 | 1 039.77 |
| | <i>Hidalgo</i> | 1 648 053 | 1 498.23 | 689.18 |
| | <i>Jaiscol</i> | 583 123 | 530.11 | 2 273.54 |
| | <i>Michoacán</i> | 1 138 333 | 1 034.85 | 185.77 |
| | <i>Morelos</i> | 16 667 | 15.15 | 1.85 |
| | <i>Nayarit</i> | 209 213 | 190.19 | 228.90 |
| | <i>Oaxaca</i> | 891 848 | 810.77 | 481.03 |
| | <i>Puebla</i> | 295 000 | 268.18 | 437.08 |
| | <i>Querétaro</i> | 230 827 | 209.84 | 27.51 |
| | <i>Tamaulipas</i> | 94 642 | 86.04 | 4 012.53 |
| | <i>Tlaxcala</i> | 422 778 | 384.34 | 1.13 |
| | <i>Veracruz</i> | 126 333 | 114.85 | 860.53 |
| | <i>Zacatecas</i> | 66 667 | 60.61 | 3 332.89 |
| | Subtotal | 8 380 605 | 7 618.73 | 24 446.85 |
| <i>P. arizonica</i> | <i>Chihuahua</i> | 1 567 791 | 1 425.26 | 32 035.24 |
| | <i>Coahuila</i> | 4 167 | 3.79 | 10 837.18 |
| | <i>Durango</i> | 1 817 335 | 1 652.12 | 13 194.50 |
| | Subtotal | 3 389 293 | 3 081.18 | 56 066.92 |
| <i>P. durangensis</i> | <i>Aguascalientes</i> | 26 667 | 24.24 | 138.01 |
| | <i>Chihuahua</i> | 1 362 247 | 1 238.41 | 32 035.24 |
| | <i>Durango</i> | 151 200 | 137.45 | 13 194.50 |
| | Subtotal | 1 540 114 | 1 400.10 | 45 367.75 |
| | Total | 49 123 371 | 44 657.61 | 77 644.69 |

[†]Source: Conafor (2018).

[†]With a planting density of 1 100 ha⁻¹ plants; [‡]Total degradation area (III.C + III.D).

For each species, the efforts of the reforestation program (RP) for plant production were estimated based on the number of established nurseries (N), germplasm production units (GPU) and germplasm banks (GB). These efforts were rated based on the scale of assessment proposed in Table 2. The information used came from the records of Conafor, which are a real database on the work of management and production of seedlings of the species. The evaluation showed that the efforts of the RP were good and regular, i. e., five States had 50 % of the total of the N (113), and about 30 % of the GPU (22) and the GB (4) (Table 3).

Table 2. Scale for assessing efforts of the reforestation program.

| Relative importance of the effort (%)[*] | Valoration |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 81 a 100 | Excelente |
| 61 a 80 | Muy bueno |
| 41 a 60 | Bueno |
| 21 a 40 | Regular |

*Relative importance of effort = (Number of nurseries or germplasm producing units or germplasm banks in the *i*-ésimo state/Total number of nurseries or germplasm producing units or germplasm banks) × 100.



Table 3. Nurseries (N), germplasm producing units (GPU), germplasm banks (GB) and species produced by State.

| State | Num. N | Num. GPU | Num. GB | Produced species ¹ | |
|-------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | Nurseries | GPU |
| <i>Chiapas</i> | 29 | 6 | 0 | Pps | Pps, Pps |
| <i>Michoacán</i> | 26 | 8 | 1 | Pgr, Pmo, Pps | Pps, Pps |
| <i>Edo. México</i> | 21 | 2 | 1 | Pgr, Pmo, Pps | Pps |
| <i>Veracruz</i> | 20 | 4 | 1 | Pgr, Pmo, Pps | Pps, Pmo, Pps |
| <i>Puebla</i> | 17 | 2 | 1 | Pgr, Pmo, Pps | Pmo |
| <i>Chihuahua</i> | 14 | 10 | 1 | Par, Pdu, Pen | Par, Par, Pen, Pen, Pdu, Pdu, Pdu, Par, Pdu |
| <i>Durango</i> | 14 | 4 | 1 | Par, Pdu, Pen | Pdu, Par, Pen |
| <i>Hidalgo</i> | 13 | 3 | 0 | Pgr, Pmo, Pps | Pmo |
| <i>Oaxaca</i> | 12 | 4 | 1 | Pgr, Pps | Pps, Pps |
| <i>Guerrero</i> | 7 | 5 | 0 | Pgr, Pmo, Pps | - |
| <i>Nayarit</i> | 7 | 1 | 1 | Pgr | - |
| <i>Jalisco</i> | 6 | 3 | 1 | Pgr | - |
| <i>Zacatecas</i> | 6 | 0 | 0 | Pgr | - |
| <i>Morelos</i> | 5 | 2 | 0 | Pgr, Pmo, Pps | Pps, Pmo |
| <i>Aguascalientes</i> | 4 | 1 | 1 | Pdu | - |
| <i>Querétaro</i> | 4 | 1 | 1 | Pgr, Pps | - |
| <i>Tlaxcala</i> | 4 | 1 | 1 | Pgr, Pmo, Pps | - |
| <i>Coahuila</i> | 3 | 1 | 0 | Par, Pgr | - |
| <i>Sinaloa</i> | 3 | 1 | 0 | Pen | - |
| <i>Tamaulipas</i> | 3 | 1 | 1 | Pgr, Pps | - |
| <i>Guanajuato</i> | 2 | 2 | 0 | Pps | Pte |
| <i>Ciudad de México</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 | Pmo | - |
| <i>Nuevo León</i> | 1 | 2 | 1 | Pps | Pps |
| Total | 222 | 64 | 14 | | |

¹Par = *P. arizonica*; Pdu = *P. durangensis*; Pen = *P. engelmannii*; Pgr = *P. greggi*; Pmo = *P. montezumae*; Pps = *P. pseudostrobus*.

The above information shows the ability of each species to reforest areas for restoration purposes, which can help recover areas of moderate to low degradation. This action allows to reverse part of the 45 % of the area that is degraded in the country (Semarnat-CP, 2002).

The species analyzed present significant efforts in the reforestation program for plant production, which give the possibility of improving the success of reforestation as long as the establishment site meets the particular ecological requirements of the plants. In this regard, this work must be carried out with quality seedlings to ensure a higher survival rate, for example. *P. pseudostrobus* has achieved 65 to 60 % while *P. montezumae* has recorded 70 to 60 % (Barrera *et al.*, 2018).

The knowledge exposed in this work is essential to plan restoration actions for the species under study.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the *Comisión Nacional Forestal* for providing information on plant nursery production, and the distribution of nurseries, germplasm producing units and germplasm banks.

Conflict of interests

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

Contribution by author

Andrés Flores: work planning, structuring, data analysis and writing of the manuscript; Tomás Pineda Ojeda: data analysis and writing of the manuscript; Eulogio Flores Ayala: writing and discussion of the document.

References

Aguirre C., O. A. 2015. Manejo forestal en el siglo XXI. *Madera y Bosques* 21:17-28. Doi: [10.21829/myb.2015.210423](https://doi.org/10.21829/myb.2015.210423).

Barrera R., R., R. López A. and H. J. Muñoz F. 2018. Survival and growth of *Pinus pseudostrobus* Lindl. and *Pinus montezumae* Lamb. on different planting dates. *Revista Mexicana de Ciencias Forestales* 9(50):1-19. Doi: [10.29298/rmcf.v9i50.245](https://doi.org/10.29298/rmcf.v9i50.245).

Burney, O., A. Aldrete, R. Alvarez R., J. A. Prieto R., J. R. Sánchez V. and J. G. Mexal. 2015. Mexico-addressing challenges to reforestation. *Journal of Forestry* 113(4):404-413. Doi: [10.5849/jof.14-007](https://doi.org/10.5849/jof.14-007).

Comisión Nacional Forestal (Conafor). 2017. Zonificación forestal. <https://www.cnf.gob.mx:8443/snif/portal/zonificacion> (19 de junio de 2019).

Comisión Nacional Forestal (Conafor). 2018. Sistema Nacional de Información y Gestión Forestal. <https://snigf.cnf.gob.mx/> (19 de junio de 2019).

Galicia, L., L. Gómez M. and V. Magaña. 2015. Climate change impacts and adaptation strategies in temperate forests in central Mexico: a participatory approach. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* 20(1):21-42. Doi: [10.1007/s11027-013-9477-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11027-013-9477-8).

Goldstein, A., H. Erickson, N. Gephart and S. Stevenson. 2011. Evaluation of land use policy and financial mechanism that affect deforestation in Mexico. <http://www.monitoreoforestal.gob.mx/repositorioidigital/files/original/388205ed5a67d798d8ce85b6dc4a0cb8.pdf> (19 de junio de 2019).

QGIS Development Team. 2015. QGIS Geographic Information System (v 2.8.7). Open source geospatial foundation project. Beaverton, OR, USA. <http://qgis.osgeo.org> (1 de mayo de 2018).

Secretaría de Economía. 2019. Programa apoyos para el desarrollo forestal sustentable 2019.

https://www.dof.gob.mx/nota_detalle.php?codigo=5551178&fecha=26/02/2019

(19 de junio de 2019).

Secretaría de Medio Ambiente y Recursos Naturales-Colegio de Posgraduados (Semarnat-CP). 2002. Evaluación de la degradación del suelo causada por el hombre en la República Mexicana, escala 1:250 000. México, D. F., México. 58 p.

Velázquez M., A., Aldrete, A. Gómez G. y T. Landeral O. 2011. Evaluación de costos de producción de planta en viveros forestales que abastecen proyectos de plantaciones forestales comerciales.

<http://www.conafor.gob.mx:8080/documentos/docs/5/41361.%20Evaluaci%C3%B3n%20de%20costos%20de%20producci%C3%B3n%20de%20planta%20en%20viveros.pdf> (8 de agosto de 2019).



All the texts published by **Revista Mexicana de Ciencias Forestales** –with no exception– are distributed under a *Creative Commons* License [Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International \(CC BY-NC 4.0\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which allows third parties to use the publication as long as the work's authorship and its first publication in this journal are mentioned